

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,867		04/29/2002	Masanori Kimura	81839.0105	8937
26021	7590	06/11/2003			
HOGAN &	HARTS	SON L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900				ANDERSON, M	AATTHEW A
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1765	6
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				hy-f					
······································		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/030,867	KIMURA, MASAN	IORI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Matthew A. Anderson	1765						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period fo		/ 10 OFT TO EVOIDE 4 MC	MTU(C) FDOM						
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time  HS from the mailing date of this one  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xommunication.					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	April 2002 .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
3)									
•	on of Claims								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 A<i>pril</i> 2002</u> is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ dis	sapproved by the Examir	ner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	•						
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[	⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	plication No						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).					
a	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has be	en received.						
Attachmen									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No formal Patent Application (P						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/030,867

Art Unit: 1765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito et al. (JP-02-221184).

Ito et al. discloses a method for growing a semiconductor single crystal by the Czochralski (Cz hereafter) pulling method. A quartz crucible is filled with raw material and heated to form a melt. Side heaters (3) and bottom heaters (4) are used in the heating process. A seed crystal is then contacted with the melt and slowly pulled up to from a single crystal ingot. A chamber (1) surrounds the crucible and heaters. The heaters are powered as shown by Fig. 2 before the pulling of the crystal has used more than 60% of the raw material melt.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/030,867

Art Unit: 1765

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2,4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al in view of Yamagishi et al. (JP-09175889) and Nagai et al. (JP-08-143392).

Ito et al. is described above.

Ito et al. does not explicitly disclose the thermal gradient and the raw material replenishment details.

Yamagishi et al. discloses keeping a Cz pulling furnace thermal environment constant. The furnace has two subsidiary bottom heaters which help the side heaters keep the conditions constant.

Nagai et al. discloses a raw material feeder which resupplies a molten material into a Cz puller.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine the methods above because both detail a Cz puller with subsidiary bottom heaters, Yamagishi et al. discloses the thermal conditions (i.e. thermal gradients) are kept constant, and Nagai et al. discloses the method of resupplying a Cz crucible with molten raw material.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to optimize the thermal gradients because Yamagishi et al. suggests that these should be held constant, such optimization would have been achieved with

Application/Control Number: 10/030,867 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

only routine experimentation, and such optimization would have produced an expected result.

In respect to claims 4, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to resupply the crucible without solidifying the melt because such was described by Nagai et al. as possible and such would have reduced unneeded thermal expansion shock on the components of the Cz systems.

5. Claims 3,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito as applied to claims 1,2,4 above, and further in view of Higo (JP-07-133187)

Ito is described avove.

Ito et al. does not disclose the claimed method of analyzing the heat transfer to control the electric power supplied to the heaters.

Higo et al. discloses a temperature gradient calculated in a Cz puller for use in controlling the thermal gradients within the furnace.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to combine Ito et al. and Higo because Higo et al. suggests that this allows single crystals free from deformation to be pulled from the Cz puller.

In respect to claim claims 3,5, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to conduct thermal gradient analysis within a Cz puller to obtain control values for heater control because Higo suggests such analysis as a way of obtaining single crystals free from deformation.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/030,867

Art Unit: 1765

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew A. Anderson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0086. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

MAA June 9, 2003 Matthew Auderson A. V. 1765